



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,157	01/28/2004	Hiroyoshi Nakamura	248142US3	2134
22850 75	90 11/17/2005	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIV	AK, MCCLELLAND	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	1, VA 22314	•	2835	
	•			5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,157	NAKAMURA, HIROYOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT! by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 January 2004.				
,)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9 and 13</u> is/are rejected					
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,10-12 and 14-18</u> is/are of	objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 200		jected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority do 	cuments have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Ap	plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the Internationa	ıl Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of the	omar ratent Application (F10*192)			

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because on Fig. 3 the fins having reference number 14, which is attributed to the door on Fig. 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d), If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2835

3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said radiation fins" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fritschle et al.

Fritschle discloses a control box including at least one electric device 48 and fan unit 12 in a case 22, the control box comprising: a dividing board (not numbered) for dividing the case into two rooms which include a heated room and a ventilated room; first and second openings 36 for opening the heated and ventilated rooms to the outside of said case; the fan unit 12 is disposed on a window penetrating the dividing board and feeds air from the ventilated room to the heated room; the slot 24 formed in the side portion of the case 22 in order to insert/pull out the dividing board and guides 29 are extending from the bottom to the top of the case for guiding the dividing board into the slot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2835

7. Claims 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritschle et al. in view of Negishi as applied to claim 3, or in view of Wu as applied to claim 4, or in view of Morris et al. as applied to claim 7.

Fritschle discloses the claimed invention except the filter, fins in the region opposite to the fan unit and the door on the front portion. The prior art referenced above discloses all these claimed elements therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include filter as disclosed by Negishi to provide clean air to cool electronics, the fins (17) as disclosed by Wu for better heat dissipation, and the front door for installation as disclosed by Morris.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5, 6, 8, 10-12,14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER /2001: 4. Clusure 11/14/5